

CR-quadrics with a symmetry property

WILHELM KAUP

1. Introduction

A well studied class of CR-submanifolds in a complex linear space consists of the quadrics, that is, of real quadratic submanifolds of the form

$$Q = \{(w, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{k+n} : w + \bar{w} = h(z, z)\},$$

where $h : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ is a non-degenerate hermitian form such that the image of h spans all of $\mathbb{C}^k$. It is well known and easy to see that the group $\text{Aff}(Q)$ of all (complex affine) transformations leaving Q invariant acts transitively on Q . Besides these global CR-automorphisms of Q , in general there exist also non-affine *local* CR-automorphisms (between domains) of Q , which cannot be extended to global CR-automorphisms of Q . By [1] every such local (smooth) CR-isomorphism is real-analytic and by [10] extends to a birational transformation of $\mathbb{C}^{k+n}$. All birational transformations obtained this way generate a group that we denote by $\text{Bir}(Q)$ in the following. It is by no means evident, but shown in [6], that $g(Q \cap \text{reg}(g)) = Q \cap \text{reg}(g^{-1})$ holds, where $\text{reg}(g) \subset \mathbb{C}^{k+n}$ is the Zariski open subset of all regular points of the birational transformation g with $Q \cap \text{reg}(g)$ being a dense domain in Q .

Up to CR-isomorphism there exists a unique homogeneous real-analytic CR-manifold $\hat{Q}$ containing Q as open dense CR-submanifold in such a way that every CR-isomorphism between domains in Q extends to a global CR-automorphism of $\hat{Q}$. In particular, the group $\text{Bir}(Q)$ can be canonically identified with the CR-automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\hat{Q})$ of the *extended quadric* $\hat{Q}$. The group $G := \text{Aut}(\hat{Q})$ has no center and can be realized as closed subgroup $G \subset \text{SL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ for some integer $N \geq 2$ in such a way that $\hat{Q}$ is a G -orbit in the complex projective space $Z := \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^N)$. In fact, there are complex-algebraic subvarieties $A \subset B \subset Z$ such that the G -orbit $\hat{Q}$ is a closed CR-submanifold of $Z \setminus A$ and $Q = \hat{Q} \setminus B$ (for all this and further details compare [6]). In particular, the group G inherits a Lie group structure from $\text{SL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ and with it is a transitive real-analytic transformation group on $\hat{Q}$. It can be shown that G has only finitely many connected components.

A convenient method for the study of a Lie group action is given by the associated infinitesimal action in terms of vector fields. For every domain $D \subset Q$ let $\mathfrak{hol}(D)$ be the real Lie algebra of all real-analytic infinitesimal CR-transformations on D (that is of all real-analytic vector fields on D whose local flows consist of CR-transformations). By [2] every vector field in $\mathfrak{hol}(D)$ extends to a (complex) polynomial vector field of degree ≤ 2 on $\mathbb{C}^{k+n}$. This implies, in particular, that $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{hol}(Q)$ has finite dimension and that for every domain $D \subset Q$ the restriction operator $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{hol}(D)$ is an isomorphism of real Lie algebras. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{g}$ is in a canonical way the Lie algebra of the Lie group $G = \text{Aut}(\hat{Q})$. The vector field $\zeta := 2w \partial/\partial w + z \partial/\partial z \in \mathfrak{g}$ gives a canonical grading

$$(1.1) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2$$

into the $\text{ad}(\zeta)$ -eigenspaces, where the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aff}(Q) := \mathfrak{g}^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^0 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie subalgebra of the subgroup $\text{Aff}(Q) \subset G$. The affine subalgebra $\mathfrak{aff}(Q)$ has an explicit description in terms of the hermitian

form h , see (2.4), whereas it seems to be unknown how big the nilpotent Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^+ := \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2$ can be in terms of k, n (it can definitely be zero). Once $\mathfrak{g}^+$ is explicitly known also the nilpotent closed subgroup $G^+ := \exp(\mathfrak{g}^+) \subset G$ is explicitly known - indeed, $\mathfrak{g}^+$ is ad-nilpotent so that $\exp : \mathfrak{g}^+ \rightarrow G^+$ is a polynomial homeomorphism. The group G is generated by the connected subset $\exp(\mathfrak{g})$ together with the linear subgroup $\mathrm{GL}(Q) \subset \mathrm{Aff}(Q)$. The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}^k$ and hence $\mathfrak{g}$ itself can be explicitly determined by solving certain linear equations, a comparatively much easier task than the explicit determination of the linear group $\mathrm{GL}(Q)$, where high order polynomial equations have to be solved.

The intention of this short note is to discuss several classes of examples for Q as above where $\mathfrak{g}^+ = \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2$ is ‘big’ and has a simple description. For that we introduce the Property (S): There exists a transformation $\gamma = \gamma^{-1} \in G = \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{Q}) \cong \mathrm{Bir}(Q)$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)(\zeta) = -\zeta$ for the adjoint representation $\mathrm{Ad} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then, if such a γ is obtained in a concrete situation (by guess-work or any other form of computation) the obvious formula $\mathfrak{g}^k = \mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)(\mathfrak{g}^{-k})$ allows to immediately write down $\mathfrak{g}^+ = \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2$ explicitly. The same holds with $G^+ = \gamma H \gamma$, where $H := \exp(\mathfrak{g}^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1})$ is the Heisenberg group. As indicated above, the determination of $\mathrm{GL}(Q)$ is more involved.

The paper is organized as follows: After the necessary preliminaries in Section 2 we introduce in Section 3 the symmetry Property (S) and present with Example 3.4 our basic class of quadrics having this property. In Section 4 we obtain for every Q from the basic class by tensoring with an arbitrary unital (associative) complex $\ast$ -algebra A of finite dimension a new quadric $Q(A)$ that also has Property (S). In the final section we briefly explain how from the classification of irreducible bounded symmetric domains of non-tube type further quadrics with Property (S) can be obtained.

2. Preliminaries

Let W, Z be complex vector spaces of finite positive dimension. Suppose that on W a conjugation $w \mapsto \overline{w}$ is given and put $V := \{w \in W : \overline{w} = w\}$. Then for every sesquilinear form $h : Z \times Z \rightarrow W$ (complex linear in the first and conjugate linear in the second variable) the real-algebraic subset

$$(2.1) \quad Q = Q_h = \{(w, z) \in W \times Z : w + \overline{w} = h(z, z)\}$$

is called a *standard* quadric in the following, provided

- (i) $h(z, z') = 0$ for all $z' \in Z$ implies $z = 0$ (non-degeneracy),
- (ii) V is the linear span over $\mathbb{R}$ of all vectors $h(z, z)$, $z \in Z$ (minimality).

It is clear that Q is invariant under the two 1-parameter groups of linear transformations

$$(w, z) \mapsto (e^{2t}w, e^t z) \quad \text{and} \quad (w, z) \mapsto (w, e^{it} z), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{hol}(Q)$ contains the commuting linear vector fields

$$(2.2) \quad \zeta := 2w \partial/\partial w + z \partial/\partial z \quad \text{and} \quad \chi := iz \partial/\partial z,$$

and $\mathfrak{g} + i\mathfrak{g}$ contains the Euler field $\eta = (\zeta - i\chi)/2$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{P}$ the complex Lie algebra of all (complex) polynomial vector fields on $E := W \oplus Z$. The vector field $\zeta \in \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{P}$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading

$$(2.3) \quad \mathfrak{P} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{P}^k, \quad [\mathfrak{P}^k, \mathfrak{P}^\ell] \subset \mathfrak{P}^{k+\ell} \quad \text{for} \quad \mathfrak{P}^k := \{\xi \in \mathfrak{P} : [\zeta, \xi] = k\xi\}$$

of $\mathfrak{P}$ with $\mathfrak{P}^k = 0$ if $k < -2$ and induces also the grading (1.1) with $\mathfrak{g}^k := \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{P}^k$, compare [2]. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2$ is the kernel of $\text{ad}(\chi)$, while the restriction of $\text{ad}(\chi)$ to the invariant subspaces $\mathfrak{g}^{-1}, \mathfrak{g}^1$ has the eigenvalues $\pm i$. The following is well known and easily verified:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}^{-2} &= \{a \partial/\partial w : a \in iV\}, \\ \mathfrak{g}^{-1} &= \{h(z, c) \partial/\partial w + c \partial/\partial z : c \in Z\}, \\ \mathfrak{g}^0 &= \{aw \partial/\partial w + bz \partial/\partial z : a \in \mathfrak{gl}(V), b \in \mathfrak{gl}(Z) \text{ with } ah(z, z) = h(bz, z) + h(z, bz)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The derived algebra $\mathfrak{d} := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$ and has the grading $\mathfrak{d} = \bigoplus_{|k| \leq 2} \mathfrak{d}^k$, where

$$\mathfrak{d}^k = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}^k & k \neq 0 \\ [\mathfrak{g}^{-2}, \mathfrak{g}^2] + [\mathfrak{g}^{-1}, \mathfrak{g}^1] + [\mathfrak{g}^0, \mathfrak{g}^0] & k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, $\mathfrak{g}^- := \mathfrak{g}^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^+ := \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2$ are nilpotent Lie algebras of step 2.

Let $\widehat{Q}$ be the extended quadric and $G := \text{Aut}(\widehat{Q})$, compare Section 1. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{hol}(Q)$ can also be identified canonically with $\mathfrak{hol}(\widehat{Q})$. Consider the following subgroups of G

$$\begin{aligned} G_0 &:= \{g \in G : g(0) = 0\} \\ G^\pm &:= \exp(\mathfrak{g}^\pm) \\ \text{GL}(Q) &:= \{g \in \text{GL}(E) : g(Q) = Q\} \\ \text{Aff}(Q) &:= \{g \in \text{Aff}(E) : g(Q) = Q\} = \text{GL}(Q) \ltimes G^- \end{aligned}$$

with $\text{Aff}(E)$ the group of all complex affine automorphisms of E . Then

$$(2.5) \quad \text{GL}(Q) = \{(f \times g) \in \text{GL}(V) \times \text{GL}(Z) \subset \text{GL}(E) : fh(z, z) = h(gz, gz)\}$$

is a real algebraic subgroup of $\text{GL}(E)$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(Q) := \mathfrak{g}^0 \subset \mathfrak{gl}(E)$ and, in particular, has only finitely many connected components. Every $G^\pm$ is a connected nilpotent closed subgroup of G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^\pm$. For instance, $G^- = \exp(\mathfrak{g}^{-2}) \exp(\mathfrak{g}^{-1})$ is the group of all affine transformations of the form

$$(2.6) \quad (w, z) \mapsto (w + a + h(z, b), z + b), \quad (a, b) \in Q,$$

which acts simply transitively on Q and is called the *Heisenberg group*.

Every $g \in G = \text{Aut}(\widehat{Q})$ acts on its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\text{Ad}(g) \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$, here given in terms of vector fields by

$$\text{Ad}(g)(f(z) \partial/\partial z) = h(z) \partial/\partial z \quad \text{with} \quad h(g(z)) = g'(z)(f(z)),$$

where $g'(z) \in \text{End}(E)$ is the derivative of g at z . The group G has no center, that is, the group homomorphism $\text{Ad} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ is injective.

The following result will not be used later but may be of independent interest.

2.7 Lemma. *For every standard quadric Q the extended quadric $\widehat{Q}$ is simply connected.*

Proof. Denote by $\pi : \widetilde{Q} \rightarrow \widehat{Q}$ the universal covering of $\widehat{Q}$. Then by [6] there exists a complex manifold X , containing $\widehat{Q}$ as generic real-analytic submanifold, together with a complex-analytic subset $A \subset X$ such that $Q = \widehat{Q} \setminus A$. There exists a complex manifold $\widetilde{X}$, containing $\widetilde{Q}$ as generic real-analytic submanifold, in such a way that π extends to a holomorphic map $\pi : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$. This implies that $\widetilde{A} := \pi^{-1}(A)$ is complex-analytic in $\widetilde{X}$ and hence that $\pi^{-1}(Q) = \widetilde{Q} \setminus \widetilde{A}$ is connected by Lemma 2.2 in [5]. Since Q is simply connected the covering map $\pi : \widetilde{Q} \rightarrow \widehat{Q}$ must be a homeomorphism. $\square$

3. The symmetry property

With the notation of Section 2 fix a standard quadric Q and consider the following symmetry property:

Property (S) There exists an automorphism $\gamma = \gamma^{-1} \in G = \text{Aut}(\widehat{Q})$ with $\text{Ad}(\gamma)(\zeta) = -\zeta$.

We call γ also a *symmetry of the quadric* Q . There may not exist a fixed point of γ in the extended quadric $\widehat{Q}$, insofar γ is not necessarily a CR-symmetry of $\widehat{Q}$ in the sense of [7].

If the symmetry property (S) is satisfied with γ then $\text{Ad}(\gamma) \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ permutes the eigenspaces of $\text{ad}(\zeta)$ in $\mathfrak{g}$, more precisely,

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ad}(\gamma)(\mathfrak{g}^k) &= \mathfrak{g}^{-k} \quad \text{for all } k, \quad \text{in particular,} \\ \dim(\mathfrak{g}^k) &= \dim(\mathfrak{g}^{-k}) \quad \text{for all } k \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathfrak{g}^1, \mathfrak{g}^1] = \mathfrak{g}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The symmetry γ is not uniquely determined, every $g\gamma g^{-1}$ with $g \in \text{GL}(Q)$ is also a symmetry of Q . Using (3.1) the spaces $\mathfrak{g}^1$ and $\mathfrak{g}^2$ can be explicitly computed from (2.4). In Section 4 we given an example of this method. This also works on the group level. Indeed, the inner automorphism $\text{Int}(\gamma)$ of G defined by $g \mapsto \gamma g \gamma$ (note that $\gamma^{-1} = \gamma$ by definition) satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad G^+ = \gamma G^- \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad G_0 = \text{GL}(Q) \ltimes G^+ = \gamma \text{Aff}(Q) \gamma.$$

As a consequence we state

3.3 Proposition. *The group G is generated by the subgroup $\text{Aff}(Q)$ and γ .*

In the following we give some examples of standard quadrics having a symmetry. We start with the case of hyperquadrics.

3.4 Example. Suppose that in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with coordinates $(w, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ the quadric Q is given by

$$Q = \left\{ (w, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : w + \overline{w} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} |z_j|^2 - \sum_{k < j \leq n} |z_j|^2 \right\},$$

where $0 \leq k \leq n$ is a fixed integer. Then $(w, z) \mapsto (w^{-1}, w^{-1}z)$ defines a symmetry γ of Q . Obviously, there is a fixed point $(1, z) \in Q$ of γ , provided $k > 0$ (in case $k = 0$ the symmetry $(w, z) \mapsto (w^{-1}, -w^{-1}z)$ would have a fixed point $(-1, z) \in Q$). The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(Q)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(p, q)$ with $p = k+1$ and $q = n+1-k$. Clearly, replacing k by $n-k$ gives a linearly equivalent quadric.

This example can be generalized to higher codimensions. For every matrix w we denote by w^* its adjoint (conjugate transpose).

3.5 Example. Let $m, n \geq 1$ be fixed integers. Then, for every hermitian matrix $\beta \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$,

$$Q := \left\{ (w, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} : w + w^* = z\beta z^* \right\}$$

is a standard quadric with CR-codimension m^2 , and $(w, z) \mapsto (w^{-1}, w^{-1}z)$ defines a symmetry γ of Q . In case $n < m$ there is no fixed point of γ in $\widehat{Q}$. On the other hand, in case $n \geq m$ there exists a fixed point $(\mathbf{1}, z) \in Q$, provided $k \geq m$ for the number k of positive eigenvalues of β . The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(Q)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(p, q)$ with $p = k+m$ and $q = n+m-k$. The extended quadric $\widehat{Q}$ can be realized as follows: Choose on $\mathbb{C}^{2m+n}$ a non-degenerate hermitian form ψ of type (p, q) and denote by $\mathbb{G}$ the Grassmannian of all linear m -spaces in $\mathbb{C}^{p+q}$. Then the compact real-analytic submanifold $S := \{L \in \mathbb{G} : \psi|_L = 0\}$ of $\mathbb{G}$ is CR-isomorphic to $\widehat{Q}$. In fact, S is the unique closed orbit of the unitary group $\text{SU}(\psi) \cong \text{SU}(p, q)$ acting on the Grassmannian. For $m = 1$ we get back Example 3.4 (up to an affine transformation) and $\mathbb{G}$ is the complex projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$.

It is obvious that for every pair Q', Q'' of standard quadrics with Property (S) also the direct product $Q := Q' \times Q''$ is a standard quadric with Property (S). In the next section we describe a more interesting method to produce new standard quadrics out of known ones.

4. Tensoring with $\star$ -algebras

In the following we use the notion of a $\star$ -algebra, that is, a complex associative algebra A with product $(w, z) \mapsto wz$ and (conjugate linear) involution $z \mapsto z^\star$ satisfying $z^{\star\star} = z$ and $(zw)^\star = w^\star z^\star$ for all $w, z \in A$. Then the *selfadjoint part* $A_{sa} := \{z \in A : z^\star = z\}$ is a real *Jordan subalgebra* with respect to the anti-commutator product $x \circ y := (xy + yx)/2$ and iA_{sa} is a real *Lie subalgebra* with respect to the commutator product $[x, y] = xy - yx$. Clearly $A = A_{sa} \oplus iA_{sa}$, and A_{sa} is an associative subalgebra of A only if A is commutative.

Here we assume without further notice that every $\star$ -algebra has finite dimension. In addition we also assume that A has a unit e , which then is contained in A_{sa} . The subgroup $G(A) \subset A$ of all invertible elements is Zariski open in A and a connected complex Lie group. In particular, $G(A) \subset GL(A)$ is generated by the image of the exponential map $\exp : A \rightarrow G(A)$. In case A is commutative, $\exp$ is a surjective group homomorphism as well as a locally biholomorphic covering map.

Denote by

$$\text{Aut}(A, \star) := \{g \in GL(A) : g(ac^\star) = g(a)g(c)^\star\}$$

the $\star$ -algebra automorphism group of A . Then $\text{Aut}(A, \star)$ is a real linear algebraic subgroup of $GL(A)$ leaving A_{sa} invariant and has Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{der}(A, \star) := \{\delta \in \mathfrak{gl}(A) : \delta(ac^\star) = \delta(a)c^\star + a\delta(c)^\star\}.$$

In case that A is commutative, $\text{Aut}(A, \star)$ can be identified with the real algebra automorphism group of the associative algebra A_{sa} and $\mathfrak{der}(A, \star)$ can be identified with the derivation algebra of A_{sa} .

For every integer $m \geq 1$ the matrix algebra $M := \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is a unital $\star$ -algebra with respect to the usual adjoint $\star$ as involution, and M is even simple as complex algebra. Every other involution $\star$ on M is of the form $z^\star = \alpha z^\star \alpha^{-1}$ for some $\alpha = \alpha^\star \in GL_m(\mathbb{C})$. Also, the product algebra $M \times M$ becomes a simple $\star$ -algebra with respect to the involution $(a, b)^\star := (b^\star, a^\star)$. By Wedderburn's Theorem [8] every semi-simple complex unital algebra A (of finite dimension) is a unique direct product $A = \prod_{j \in J} A_j$ of simple unital algebras $A_j \cong \mathbb{C}^{m_j \times m_j}$. To every involution $\star$ of A , making it to a $\star$ -algebra, there is an involution $j \mapsto j^\bullet$ of the index set J such that $(A_j)^\star = A_{j^\bullet}$ for every $j \in J$. Then, choosing a minimal subset $K \subset J$ with $J = K \cup K^\bullet$, we get the representation $A = \prod_{j \in K} (A_j + A_{j^\bullet})$ as direct product of simple $\star$ -algebras.

In general the algebra A has a radical (the intersection of all maximal left ideals in A). Every involution of A leaves $\text{Rad}(A)$ invariant and makes the semi-simple algebra $A/\text{Rad}(A)$ to a $\star$ -algebra. It can be shown that even in the commutative case there are uncountably many isomorphy classes of (finite dimensional) $\star$ -algebras.

Now consider for given W, Z, h the standard quadric $Q = Q_h$ defined as in (2.1) and fix a unital $\star$ -algebra A . Put $V := \{w \in W : \bar{w} = w\}$ and also

$$\mathbf{W} := W \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A, \quad \mathbf{Z} := W \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A \text{ and } \mathbf{V} := V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} A_{sa}.$$

Then there exists a unique conjugation $w \mapsto \bar{w}$ on $\mathbf{W}$ such that $\bar{w} = \bar{w} \otimes a^\star$ for every $w = w \otimes a \in \mathbf{W}$. The fixed point set of this conjugation is $\mathbf{V}$, considered in a canonical way as $\mathbb{R}$ -linear subspace of $\mathbf{W}$. Also there exists a unique hermitian form

$$h : \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{W} \text{ satisfying } h(x \otimes a, y \otimes b) = h(x, y) \otimes ab^\star$$

defining the quadric

$$Q := Q(A) := \{(w, z) \in \mathbf{W} \times \mathbf{Z} : w + \bar{w} = h(z, z)\}.$$

If we denote by $e \in A$ its unit we realize V, W, Z as linear subspaces of $\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{Z}$ by identifying every $w \in W, z \in Z$ with $w \otimes e, z \otimes e$ respectively. In this sense $Q = \mathbf{Q} \cap (W \times Z) \subset \mathbf{W} \times \mathbf{Z}$. Furthermore, for every pair A, B of unital $\star$ -algebras we have $Q(A \times B) \cong Q(A) \times Q(B)$ and $Q(A)(B) \cong Q(A \otimes B)$, where product and involution on $A \otimes B$ are uniquely determined by $(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) = (ac \otimes bd)$ and $(a \otimes b)^* = (a^* \otimes b^*)$.

Tensor Example 3.4: We consider Example 3.4 in the lowest possible dimension $n = 1$, that is without loss of generality,

$$Q = \{(w, z) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : w + \bar{w} = z\bar{z}\}$$

is the Heisenberg sphere in dimension 2. With A a fixed $\star$ -algebra of complex dimension d then

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{Q} = Q(A) = \{(w, z) \in A^2 : w + w^* = zz^*\}$$

is a standard quadric of CR-codimension d , and a symmetry is given by $\gamma(w, z) = (w^{-1}, w^{-1}z)$.

4.2 Proposition. For $\mathbf{Q}$ in (4.1) and $\mathfrak{hol}(\mathbf{Q}) = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}^{-2} &= \{a \partial/\partial w : a \in iA_{sa}\} \\ \mathfrak{g}^{-1} &= \{zc^* \partial/\partial w + c \partial/\partial z : c \in A\} \\ \mathfrak{g}^0 &= \{(aw + wa^*) \partial/\partial w + az \partial/\partial z : a \in A\} \rtimes \{\delta(w) \partial/\partial w + \delta(z) \partial/\partial z : \delta \in \mathfrak{der}(A, \star)\} \\ \mathfrak{g}^1 &= \{zc^*w \partial/\partial w + (zc^*z + wc) \partial/\partial z : c \in A\} \\ \mathfrak{g}^2 &= \{waw \partial/\partial w + waz \partial/\partial z : a \in iA_{sa}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. $\mathfrak{g}^k$ for $k < 0$ is (2.4) and for $k > 0$ follows immediately by applying (3.1) to $\mathfrak{g}^{-1}, \mathfrak{g}^{-2}$. For $k = 0$ the claim is a direct consequence of the following proposition. $\square$

4.3 Proposition. For $\mathbf{Q}$ in (4.1) and the subgroups $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{Q}), G^+$ of $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}})$ as defined in Section 2 we have, where $e \in A$ is the unit:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{Q}) &= \{(w, z) \mapsto (awa^*, az) : a \in G(A)\} \rtimes \{g \times g : g \in \mathrm{Aut}(A, \star)\} \\ G^+ &= \{(w, z) \mapsto (e + wa + zc^*)^{-1}(w, z + wc) : (a, c) \in \mathbf{Q}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The second equation follows immediately with (3.2) applied to (2.6).

Next consider an arbitrary $\varphi \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{Q})$. Then $\varphi = f \times g \in \mathrm{GL}(A_{sa}) \times \mathrm{GL}(A)$ with $f(xy^*) = (gx)(gy)^*$ for all $x, y \in A$ by (2.5). We claim that $g(e)$ is invertible in A (compare also Lemma 2.5 in [3]): Indeed, for $x = e$ we have $f(y) = (ge)(gy)^*$, and choosing y with $f(y) = e$ we find $e = (ge)(gy)^*$, that is, $g(e) \in G(A)$.

Obviously the group $K := \{(w, z) \mapsto (awa^*, az) : a \in G(A)\}$ is contained in $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{Q})$ and the group $\{\beta \in \mathrm{GL}(A) : (\alpha \times \beta) \in K \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathrm{GL}(A_{sa})\}$ acts simply transitively on $G(A)$. We may therefore assume that $g(e) = e$ for $\varphi = f \times g$. For every $x \in A_{sa}$ then $f(x) = f(xe^*) = (gx)(ge)^* = g(x)$, that is $f = g$. Finally, $f(xy^*) = (gx)(gy)^*$ implies $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(A, \star)$. This implies that K is normal in $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{Q})$ and that $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{Q})$ is a semi-direct product of the claimed form. $\square$

In [3] the notion of a *Real Associative Quadric* (RAQ for short) has been introduced and for every quadric Q of this type the subgroups $\mathrm{GL}(Q)$ and G^+ of $\mathrm{Aut}(Q)$ have been explicitly described. In our terminology, the RAQs are just the tensored quadrics $Q(A)$ of type (4.1), where $Q \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ is the Heisenberg quadric in dimension 2 and A is a *commutative* $\star$ -algebra. In this special case of a commutative $\star$ -algebra A the group $\mathrm{Aut}(A, \star)$ obviously can also be written in the following way:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(A, \star) = \mathrm{Aut}(R) \quad \text{for the commutative real algebra } R = A_{sa}.$$

For every $a \in R$ we denote by $L(a) \in \text{End}(R)$ the left multiplication $x \mapsto ax$ and identify the algebra R with its image $L(R) \subset \text{End}(R)$. Then the normalizer $\{g \in \text{GL}(R) : gL(R) = L(R)g\}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(R)$. Via this identification, for the commutative case the descriptions of $\text{GL}(\mathcal{Q})$ and G^+ in Proposition 4.3 occur already in [3].

Tensor Example 3.5: For $m, n \geq 1$ and hermitian matrix $\beta \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ as in Example 3.5 we consider the tensored quadric $\mathcal{Q} = Q(A)$, where A is an arbitrary $\star$ -algebra, that is,

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{(w, z) \in A^{m \times m} \times A^{m \times n} : w + w^\star = z\beta z^\star\},$$

where for every matrix $a = (a_{jk}) \in A^{r \times s}$ the adjoint $a^\star \in A^{s \times r}$ is the matrix $(a_{kj}^\star)$. Then $\mathcal{Q}$ is a standard quadric and, as before, $(w, z) \mapsto (w^{-1}, w^{-1}z)$ defines a symmetry. It is seen immediately that for G^+ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{Q})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^+ &= \{(w, z) \mapsto (e + wa + z\beta c^\star)^{-1}(w, z + wc) : (a, c) \in \mathcal{Q}\} . \\ \mathfrak{g}^{-2} &= \{a \partial/\partial w : a \in A^{m \times m}, a + a^\star = 0\} \\ \mathfrak{g}^{-1} &= \{z\beta c^\star \partial/\partial w + c \partial/\partial z : c \in A^{m \times n}\} \\ \mathfrak{g}^1 &= \{z\beta c^\star w \partial/\partial w + (z\beta c^\star z + wc) \partial/\partial z : c \in A^{m \times n}\} \\ \mathfrak{g}^2 &= \{waw \partial/\partial w + waz \partial/\partial z : a \in A^{m \times m}, a + a^\star = 0\} , \end{aligned}$$

and a simple check reveals $[\mathfrak{g}^j, \mathfrak{g}^k] = \mathfrak{g}^{j+k}$ for all $j, k \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$ with $j + k \neq 0$.

More involved is the linear group $\text{GL}(\mathcal{Q})$. Notice that the group $\text{GL}_n(A) := \text{G}(A^{n \times n})$ acts by matrix multiplication from the right on $A^{m \times n}$. A subgroup is the β -unitary group

$$\text{U}_\beta(A) := \{u \in \text{GL}_n(A) : u\beta u^\star = \beta\} \text{ with Lie algebra } \mathfrak{u}_\beta(A) := \{u \in A^{n \times n} : u\beta + \beta u^\star = 0\} .$$

For every matrix space $A^{r \times s}$ we have the embedding $\text{End}(A) \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A^{r \times s})$ given by $f \cdot a = (f(a_{jk}))$ for every $a = (a_{jk}) \in A^{r \times s}$. Then a simple computation gives that

$$\text{B} := \{(w, z) \mapsto (a(g \cdot w)a^\star, a(g \cdot z)u) : a \in \text{GL}_m(A), u \in \text{U}_\beta(A), g \in \text{Aut}(A, \star)\}$$

is a subgroup of $\text{GL}(\mathcal{Q})$. In case A is a semi-simple, up to a permutation of its simple factors, every element of $\text{Aut}(A, \star)$ is an inner $\star$ -automorphism of the form $a \mapsto uau^\star$ with $u^\star = u^{-1} \in \text{G}(A)$. In particular, $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}, \star)$ is the trivial group and it can be seen that $\text{B} = \text{GL}(\mathcal{Q})$ holds in case $A = \mathbb{C}$. Also $\text{B} = \text{GL}(\mathcal{Q})$ in case $m = n = 1$ by Proposition 4.3.

We discuss briefly another local realization of the above tensored quadratics $\mathcal{Q} = Q(A)$: Fix integers $r > m \geq 1$ and a hermitian matrix $\alpha \in \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ having at least m positive eigenvalues. For fixed $\star$ -algebra A put $E := A^{m \times r}$ and let $\mathbf{1} \in \text{GL}_m(A)$ be the unit matrix. Then we call

$$\mathcal{S} := \{z \in E : z\alpha z^\star = \mathbf{1}\}$$

a *generalized sphere*. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\alpha = \mathbf{1} \times \beta \in \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ for $n := r - m$ and some hermitian matrix $\beta \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Now put $W := A^{m \times m}$, $Z := A^{m \times n}$. Then $E = W \oplus Z$ in a canonical way and the quadric $\mathcal{Q} := \{(x, y) \in W \times Z : x + x^\star = y\beta y^\star\}$ is locally CR-isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}$. Indeed, consider the Cayley transformation $\kappa \in \text{Bir}(E)$ defined on E by

$$(4.4) \quad \kappa(x, y) = (\mathbf{1} - x)^{-1}(\mathbf{1} + x, \sqrt{2}y) .$$

Then $\kappa^{-1}(x, y) = (x + \mathbf{1})^{-1}(x - \mathbf{1}, \sqrt{2}y)$ and a simple computation shows that the birational transformation κ gives a CR-isomorphism

$$\kappa : S \cap \text{reg}(\kappa) \rightarrow Q \cap \text{reg}(\kappa^{-1}).$$

Since $Q \cap \text{reg}(\kappa^{-1})$ is connected by Lemma 2.2 in [5], also $S \cap \text{reg}(\kappa)$ is connected. Consequently S is a connected generic real-analytic CR-submanifold of E . Furthermore, κ induces an isomorphism between the real Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{hol}(Q)$ and $\mathfrak{s} := \mathfrak{hol}(S)$. Since $\kappa = \exp(\xi)$ for some $\xi \in \mathfrak{l} := \mathfrak{g} + i\mathfrak{g}$, we have also $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{s} + i\mathfrak{s}$ and every vector field in $\mathfrak{s}$ is polynomial of degree ≤ 2 on E . For every $a \in E$ application of the vector field $\xi := (a - z\alpha a^*z) \partial/\partial z$ on E to the defining equation for S gives

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(z\alpha z^* - \mathbf{1}) &= (a - z\alpha a^*z)\alpha z^* + z\alpha(a^* - z^*a\alpha z^*) \\ &= (\mathbf{1} - z\alpha z^*)a\alpha z^* + z\alpha a^*(\mathbf{1} - z\alpha z^*). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that ξ is tangent to S and we get the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{k} := \{\xi \in \mathfrak{s} : \xi_0 = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{p} := \{(a - z\alpha a^*z) \partial/\partial z : a \in E\}.$$

Notice that the evaluation map $\varepsilon_0 : \mathfrak{s} \rightarrow E$ at the origin induces an $\mathbb{R}$ -linear isomorphism of $\mathfrak{p}$ onto E . The Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ contains the multiple $\delta := iz \partial/\partial z$ of the Euler field, and $\mathfrak{k}$ resp. $\mathfrak{p}$ are the 0- resp. -1 -eigenspaces of $(\text{ad } \delta)^2$ in $\mathfrak{s}$. Also, every vector field in $\mathfrak{k}$ is linear, and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{gl}(S)$ is the Lie algebra of the linear algebraic group $K := \text{GL}(S) = \{g \in \text{GL}(E) : g(S) = S\}$. It is clear that the group $U := U_{\mathbf{1}} \times U_{\alpha} \subset \text{GL}_m(A) \times \text{GL}_r(A)$ acts linearly on S via $z \mapsto uzv^*$, and it can be seen that there is an open orbit in S for this action.

5. Links with bounded symmetric domains

For the special case $\beta = \mathbf{1}$ in Example 3.5 the quadric Q coincides with the Šilov boundary $\check{D}$ of the symmetric Siegel domain

$$D := \{(w, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} : (w + w^* - zz^*) > 0\},$$

which is also the interior of the convex hull of Q . The inverse Cayley transform κ^{-1} , see (4.4), maps the Siegel domain D biholomorphically onto the bounded symmetric domain

$$B := \{(w, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} : (\mathbf{1} - ww^* - zz^*) > 0\}$$

and the quadric $Q = \check{D}$ to an open dense part of the (compact) Šilov boundary

$$\check{B} = \{(w, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m} \times \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} : (ww^* + zz^*) = \mathbf{1}\}$$

(called a generalized sphere above) of B . The extended quadric $\hat{Q}$ is CR-isomorphic to $\check{B}$.

Now consider the symmetry $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(D)$ of D at the point $e := (\mathbf{1}, 0) \in D$. Then $\sigma = \kappa \circ (-\text{id}) \circ \kappa^{-1}$ with $-\text{id}$ being the symmetry of B at the origin. A simple computation shows $\sigma(w, z) = (w^{-1}, -w^{-1}z)$. But σ extends to a symmetry of Q in the sense of Section 3 and is essentially the same (up to the sign in the second variable) as the symmetry γ we used before. Besides these bounded symmetric domains of type **I** and their variations from Example 3.5 there are two more non-tube types of irreducible bounded symmetric domains, all leading to symmetric standard quadrics, namely those of types **II** and **V** (for this and further details in the following see [9]).

Type II Fix an even integer $m \geq 4$ and let $j := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ -\mathbf{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z})$. Also put

$$W := \{w \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m} : w^J = w\} \quad \text{and} \quad Z := \mathbb{C}^{m \times 1},$$

where $w^J := jw'j^{-1}$ and w' is the transpose of w . Then W is a complex unital Jordan subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ of dimension $\binom{m}{2}$ with $W = W^*$.

$$Q := \{(w, z) \in W \oplus Z : w + w^* = zz^* + (zz^*)^J\},$$

is a standard quadric with symmetry $\sigma(w, z) = (w^{-1}, -w^{-1}z)$, and $\mathfrak{hol}(Q) \cong \mathfrak{so}^*(2m+2)$.

Type V Let $\mathbb{O}$ be the real Cayley division algebra and $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ its canonical (real) involution. $\mathbb{O}$ is an alternative algebra of dimension 8 over $\mathbb{R}$ with $\bar{x} = x$ if and only if $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\mathbb{O}^{\mathbb{C}}$ the complexification of $\mathbb{O}$ and extend the involution of $\mathbb{O}$ to a conjugate linear involution $z \mapsto \bar{z}$ of the complex alternative algebra $\mathbb{O}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $\overline{wz} = \bar{z}\bar{w}$ for all $w, z \in \mathbb{O}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and

$$Q := \{(w, z) \in \mathbb{O}^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{O}^{\mathbb{C}} : w + \bar{w} = z\bar{z}\}$$

is a standard quadric of CR-codimension 8 in $\mathbb{C}^{16}$. Again, $\sigma(w, z) = (w^{-1}, -w^{-1}z)$ is a symmetry of Q . Notice that for every $w, z \in \mathbb{O}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with w invertible there is a unital associative complex subalgebra of $\mathbb{O}^{\mathbb{C}}$ containing w, w^{-1} and z . Furthermore, $\mathfrak{hol}(Q)$ is isomorphic to the exceptional real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_{6(-14)}$.

References

1. Baouendi, M.S., Jacobowitz, H., Treves F.: On the analyticity of CR mappings. *Ann. of Math.* **122**, 365-400 (1985).
2. Beloshapka, V.: On holomorphic transformations of a quadric. *Math. USSR Sb.* **72**, 189-205 (1992).
3. Ezhov, V., Schmalz, G.: A Matrix Poincaré Formula for Holomorphic Automorphisms of Quadrics of Higher Codimension. *Real Associative Quadrics. J. Geom. Analysis* **8**, 27-41 (1998).
4. Ezhov, V., Schmalz, G.: Automorphisms of Nondegenerate CR-Quadrics and Siegel Domains. Explicit Description. *J. Geom. Analysis* **11**, 441-467 (2001).
5. Fels, G., Kaup, W.: Local tube realizations of CR-manifolds and maximal abelian subalgebras. *arXiv* 0810.2019.
6. Isaev, A., Kaup, W.: Regularization of Local CR-Automorphisms of Real-Analytic CR-manifolds. *arXiv:0906.3079*.
7. Kaup W., Zaitsev, D.: On Symmetric Cauchy-Riemann Manifolds. *Adv. Math.* **149**, 145-181 (2000).
8. Lang, S: *Algebra*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 211, Springer 2005.
9. Loos, O.: *Bounded symmetric domains and Jordan pairs*, Mathematical Lectures. Irvine: University of California at Irvine 1977.
10. Tumanov, A., Finite-dimensionality of the group of CR automorphisms of a standard CR manifold, and proper holomorphic mappings of Siegel domains. *Math. USSR. Izv.* **32**, 655–662 (1989).

Mathematisches Institut der Universität
72076 Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 10
GERMANY
E-mail: kaup@uni-tuebingen.de